

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/665,025 Applicant(s).

Sheldon et al

micerview Summary	Examiner Alvin Stewart	Group Art Unit 3738
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Alvin Stewart	(3) <u>Arthur Jacobs</u>	
(2) Dave Willse	(4)	
Date of Interview Dec 20, 2001	-	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2)区 applicant's representative e)区 No. If yes, brief description	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 20, 27 and 33.	\$	
Identification of prior art discussed: Lope 7 et al (US 6,129,765) and		5 4,822,369)
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠	Ń/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: The Applicant's representative disclarations prior and and the Applicant 12 90 ing to add more limitations is fourter, the Examina needs to make writing the patentability of the invention	nes the difference invention. The Applica in order to Clarify	between the nd's represcription the inventor.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) 🔀 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of the	ne interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM, INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	EP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Office action has ILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Al : E		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.